arXiv:1611.02919v1 [math.AP] 9 Nov 2016

GROUND STATES AND SEMICLASSICAL STATES OF NONLINEAR CHOQUARD EQUATIONS INVOLVING HARDY-LITTLEWOOD-SOBOLEV CRITICAL GROWTH

DANIELE CASSANI AND JIANJUN ZHANG

Abstract. We are concerned with the existence of ground states for nonlinear Choquard equations involving a critical nonlinearity in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev. Our result complements previous results by Moroz and Van Schaftingen where the subcritical case was considered. Then, we focus on the existence of semi-classical states and by using a truncation argument approach, we establish the existence and concentration of single peak solutions concentrating around minima of the Schrödinger potential, as the Planck constant goes to zero. The result is robust in the sense that the nonlinearity is not required to satisfy *monotonicity* conditions nor the *Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz* condition.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the following nonlinear Choquard equation

$$-\varepsilon^2\Delta v + V(x)v = \varepsilon^{-\alpha}(I_\alpha * F(v))f(v),\ v>0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N\geq 3$, $\alpha\in(0,N)$, F is the primitive function of f, I_α is the Riesz potential defined for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$ by

$$I_\alpha(x) := \frac{A_\alpha}{|x|^{N-\alpha}},\ \text{where } A_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma((N-\alpha)/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)\pi^{N/2}2^\alpha},\ \text{and } \Gamma \text{ is the Gamma function.}$$

The Schrödinger potential V satisfies the following

(V1) $V\in C(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)>0$.

When $\varepsilon=1$ and $V(x)=a>0$, (1.1) reduces to the following nonlocal elliptic equation

$$-\Delta u + au = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

which is variational, in the sense that, u is a solution to (1.2) if and only if u is a critical point of the following energy functional

$$L_a(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + au^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u),\quad u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In the relevant physical case in which $N=3$, $\alpha=2$ and $F(s)=s^2/2$, (1.2) turns into the equation

$$-\Delta u + au = (I_2 * u^2)u,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B25, 35B33, 35J61.

Key words and phrases. Ground states, Semiclassical states, Nonlocal equations, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

J.J. Zhang was partially supported by the Science Foundation of Chongqing Jiaotong University(15JDKJC-B033).

which is the so-called Choquard-Pekar equation and can goes back to the description of a polaron at rest in Quantum Field Theory by S.I. Pekar [46]. Moreover, if u is a solution of (1.3), then $\psi(x,t) = e^{it}u(x)$ is a solitary wave of the focusing time-dependent Hartree equation

$$i\psi_t = -\Delta\psi - (I_2 * \psi^2)\psi, \ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

In 1976, P. Choquard introduced this type of equations to describe an electron trapped in its own hole as an approximation to Hartree-Fock theory for a one component plasma, see [31]. It also arises in multiple particles systems [24, 31] and quantum mechanics [43–45]. In the pioneering work [29], E.H. Lieb first proved the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to (1.3). Later, multiplicity results for (1.3) were obtained by P.L. Lions [32, 33] by variational methods.

For $F(u) = |u|^p/p$, (1.2) can be reduced to the following general stationary Hartree equation

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

Let us recall that in the local case

$$-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

it is well known that positive solutions with finite energy are radially symmetric, unique and non-degenerate, see [23,42]. Here, in contrast to the local problem (1.5), the standard moving planes approach seems to be unsettled at the moment to deal with the nonlocal version (1.4). The classification of positive solutions to (1.4), even in the particular case $p = 2$, has been remained a longstanding open problem. By using an integral version of the moving planes method, introduced by W. Chen et al. [14], L. Ma and L. Zhao [35] gave a breakthrough on this problem. With some restrictions on α, p and N, they proved that positive solutions to (1.4) are, up to translations, radially symmetric and unique. In [39], V. Moroz and J. van Schaftingen further improved the result in of [35] by establishing the existence of ground state solutions to (1.4) within an optimal range of p. More recently, V. Moroz and J. van Schaftingen [37] considered the more general Choquard equation (1.2) and, in the spirit of Berestycki and Lions, obtained the existence of ground state solutions with sufficient and almost necessary conditions on the nonlinearity f. For more details on this subject, we refer to the survey [40].

In the above quoted literature, only the subcritical case was considered. The first purpose of the present work is to investigate the existence of ground state solutions to (1.2) involving critical growth in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

Definition 1.1. *u is said to be a ground state solution of (1.2) if u is a solution of (1.2) with the least energy L_a among all nontrivial solutions to (1.2).*

Throughout this paper we assume $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies

(F1) $\lim_{t\to 0^+} f(t)/t = 0$;
(F2) $\lim_{t\to+\infty} f(t)/t^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}} = 1$;
(F3) there exist $\mu > 0$ and $q \in (2, (N+\alpha)/(N-2))$ such that

$$f(t) \geq t^{(2+\alpha)/(N-2)} + \mu t^{q-1}, \ t > 0.$$

Our first main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$ and*

$$q > \max\left\{1 + \frac{\alpha}{N-2}, \frac{N+\alpha}{2(N-2)}\right\},$$

and assume conditions $(F1)$–$(F3)$. Then, for any $a > 0$, (1.2) admits a ground state solution.

Remark 1.1. *Let us point out that to ensure the existence of ground states to (1.2), the assumption $(F3)$ plays a crucial role. Without $(F3)$, the assumptions $(F1)$-$(F2)$ can not guarantee the existence of ground states to (1.2). Here we give a counterexample: let $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$ and $f(t) = |t|^{(4+\alpha-N)/(N-2)}t$, which satisfies $(F1)$-$(F2)$ but not $(F3)$. By a Pohozăev's type identity (see Lemma 2.8, Section 2), (1.2) has no nontrivial solutions.*

The second purpose of this paper is to investigate the profile of positive solutions to (1.1) as the adimensionalized Planck constant $\varepsilon \to 0$, whose motivation goes back to the pioneering work of A. Floer and A. Weinstein [21] (see also [42]) concerning the Schrödinger equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.6}$$

An interesting class of solutions to (1.6) are families of solutions which develop a spike shape around some point in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. From the physical point of view, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, these solutions give the so-called semi-classical states, which describe the transition from quantum mechanics to classical mechanics. For the detailed physical background, we refer to [42] and references therein, see also [20]. By a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction approach based on a non-degeneracy condition, in [21, 42], the authors obtained the existence of solutions to (1.6) exhibiting a single peak or multi peaks concentrating, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, around any given non-degenerate critical points of the potential V. However, the non-degeneracy condition holds only for a restricted class of nonlinearities f. In the last decade, considerable attention has been paid to relax or remove the non-degeneracy condition in the singularly perturbed problems. By using a variational approach, P.H. Rabinowitz [47] obtained the existence of positive solutions to (1.6) for small $\varepsilon > 0$ by assuming the following global potential well condition

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) > \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x).$$

Later, by using a penalization approach, M. del Pino and P. Felmer [16] weakened the global potential well condition above to the the following local condition

(V2) there is a bounded domain $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$0 < m \equiv \inf_{x\in O} V(x) < \min_{x\in\partial O} V(x),$$

and proved the existence of a single-peak solution to (1.6). In [16,47], the non-degeneracy condition is not required. Some related results can be found in [3, 17–19, 52] and the references therein. In 2007, J. Byeon and L. Jeanjean [7] introduced a new penalization approach and constructed a spike solution under hypothesis $(V2)$ and almost optimal hypotheses on f: namely, the Berestycki-Lions conditions [6]. For further references, we refer the reader to [8–10] for the subcritical case, and to [58, 59] for the critical case.

We state the second main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* $(V1)$-$(V2)$ *and the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let* $\mathcal{M} \equiv \{x \in O : V(x) = m\}$. *Then, for small* $\varepsilon > 0$, (1.1) *admits a positive solution* v_ε, *which satisfies:*

(i) there exists a local maximum point $x_\varepsilon \in O$ *of* v_ε *such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} dist(x_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0,$$

and $w_\varepsilon(x) \equiv v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + x_\varepsilon)$ *converges (up to a subsequence) uniformly to a ground state solution of*

$$-\Delta u + mu = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u), \ u > 0, \ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N);$$

(ii) $v_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{\varepsilon}|x - x_\varepsilon|)$ *for some* $c, C > 0$.

In [51], J. Wei and M. Winter considered the Schrödinger-Newton system

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta v + V(x)v = \varepsilon^{-2}(I_2 * v^2)v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{1.7}$$

and by using a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method and with the assumption $(V1)$, proved the existence of multi-bump solutions concentrating around local minima, local maxima or non-degenerate critical points of V. Let us mention that when $(V1)$ fails to hold and the potential vanishes somewhere, the problem becomes more difficult. In [49], S. Secchi considered the Schrödinger-Newton system (1.7) with a positive decaying electric potential and by virtue of perturbative methods, proved the existence and concentration of bound states near local minima (or maxima) points of V as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Recently, by a nonlocal penalization technique, V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen [38] obtained a family of single spike solutions of the Choquard equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta v + V(x)v = \varepsilon^{-2}(I_\alpha * |v|^p)|v|^{p-2}v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

around the local minimum of V as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Moreover, in [38], the assumption on the decay of V and the admissible range for $p \geq 2$ are optimal. More recently, adopting the penalization argument introduced in [7], M. Yang et al. [56] investigated the existence and concentration of solutions to (1.1) under the local potential well condition $(V2)$ and a mild assumption on f. In particular, the *Ambrosetti-Rabinowtiz* condition and the *monotonicity* condition on $f(t)/t$ are not required. For more related results, we refer to [4,13,15,36,41,49,50,55] and the references therein. However, the above quoted result cover the subcritical case and the critical case, in the terms of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, remained open. In [2], C. O. Alves et al. considered the ground state solutions of the Choquard equation (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. By variational methods, the authors proved the existence and concentration of ground states to (1.1) involving critical exponential growth in the sense of Trudinger-Moser. A natural open problem which has not been settled before is whether (1.1) develop similar concentration phenomena in the case of critical growth. Here we answer this question completing the study carried out in the above quoted literature.

In Section 2, we use a monotonicity trick due to Jeanjean [27] and a suitable decomposition of Palais-Smale sequences to prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 by means of a truncation approach.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we are concerned with the existence of ground state solutions to (1.2). Let $a > 0$ and denote the least energy of (1.2) by

$$E_a = \inf \left\{ L_a(u) : L_a'(u) = 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N),\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

In what follows, let $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + a|u|^2 \right)^2,\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we introduce some preliminary results. First, the following Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality will be used frequently later.

Lemma 2.1. [30, Theorem 4.3] *Let $s, r > 1$ and $0 < \alpha < N$ with $1/s + 1/r = 1 + \alpha/N$, $f \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a positive constant $C(s, N, \alpha)$ (independent of f, g) such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x)|x - y|^{\alpha - N} g(y)\, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \right| \le C(s, N, \alpha)\|f\|_s \|g\|_r.$$

In particular, if $s = r = 2N/(N + \alpha)$, the sharp constant

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \pi^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma((N+\alpha)/2)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\Gamma(N)} \right]^{-\alpha/N}.$$

Remark 2.1. *By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality above, for any $u \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $s \in (1, N/\alpha)$, $I_\alpha * v \in L^{Ns/(N-\alpha s)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), L^{Ns/(N-\alpha s)}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and*

$$\|I_\alpha * v\|_{\frac{Ns}{N-\alpha s}} \le C(s, N, \alpha)\|v\|_s.$$

2.1. Brezis-Lieb lemma and Splitting lemma.

In this section, we give a Brezis-Lieb lemma and splitting lemma for the nonlocal term of the functional.

Lemma 2.2. (Brezis-Lieb Lemma) *Assume $\alpha \in (0, N)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$|f(t)| \le C(|t|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}} + |s|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}),\ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))F(u_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u))F(u_n - u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u) + o_n(1),$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

To prove Lemma 2.2, we recall the following lemma, which states that pointwise convergence of a bounded sequence implies weak convergence.

Lemma 2.3. [54, Theorem 4.2.7] *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain and $\{u_n\}$ be bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$ with some $q > 1$. Then if $u_n \to u$ a.e. in Ω as $n \to \infty$, then $u_n \to u$ weakly in $L^q(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$*

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * F(u_n))F(u_n) - (I_\alpha * F(u_n-u))F(u_n-u) - (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * [F(u_n)+F(u_n-u)])[F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)] - (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u),$$

and there exists $C>0$ such that $|F(s)| \le C(|s|^{(N+\alpha)/N} + |s|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)})$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$, which implies $F(u)\in L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For any $\delta>0$ small, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, there exists $K_1>0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_1}(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u)\right| \le \delta/6,\ \Omega_1 := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)|\ge K_1\}.$$

Meanwhile, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_1}(I_\alpha * [F(u_n)+F(u_n-u)])[F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)]\right|$$
$$\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|F(u_n)+F(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}}\left(\int_{\Omega_1}|F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}}$$
$$\le C(N,\alpha)\left(\int_{\Omega_1}|F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}}.$$

Here we used the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is easy to see there exists $c>0$ such that

$$|F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}$$
$$\le c(|u_n|^{\frac{2\alpha}{N+\alpha}}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + u^2 + |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then by Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega_1}|u_n|^{\frac{2\alpha}{N+\alpha}}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le \left(\int_{\Omega_1}u_n^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{N+\alpha}}\left(\int_{\Omega_1}u^2\right)^{\frac{N}{N+\alpha}}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_1}|u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le \left(\int_{\Omega_1}|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N+\alpha}}\left(\int_{\Omega_1}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}.$$

So for δ given above and K_1 fixed but large enough, we get for any n,

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_1}(I_\alpha * [F(u_n)+F(u_n-u)])[F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)]\right| \le \delta/6.$$

Similarly, let $\Omega_2 := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|\ge R\}\setminus\Omega_1$ with $R>0$ large enough, we have for any n,

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_2}(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u)\right| \le \delta/6$$

and

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_2}(I_\alpha * [F(u_n)+F(u_n-u)])[F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)]\right| \le \delta/6.$$

For $K_2 > K_1$, let $\Omega_3(n) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \geq K_2\} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$, then if $\Omega_3(n) \neq \emptyset$, we know $|u(x)| < K_1$ and $|x| < R$ for any $x \in \Omega_3(n)$. Noting that $u_n \to u$ a.e. in Ω as $n \to \infty$, then it follows from the Severini-Egoroff theorem that u_n converges to u in measure in $B_R(0)$, which implies that $|\Omega_3(n)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then similar as above, we have for n large enough,

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_3(n)} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u)\right| \leq \delta/6$$

and

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_3(n)} (I_\alpha * [F(u_n) + F(u_n - u)])[F(u_n) - F(u_n - u)]\right| \leq \delta/6.$$

Finally, we estimate the terms

$$\int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * [F(u_n) + F(u_n - u)])[F(u_n) - F(u_n - u)] - (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u),$$

where $\Omega_4(n) = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3(n))$. Obviously, $\Omega_4(n) \subset B_R(0)$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_4(n)} |F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = 0, \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_4(n)} |F(u_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = 0,$$

which implies by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * [F(u_n) + F(u_n - u)])F(u_n - u)\right| \\ &\leq C(N,\alpha)\left(\int_{\Omega_4(n)} |F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * [F(u_n) + F(u_n - u)])[F(u_n) - F(u)]\right| \\ &\leq C(N,\alpha)\left(\int_{\Omega_4(n)} |F(u_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

Then let $H_n = F(u_n) + F(u_n - u) - F(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * [F(u_n) + F(u_n - u)])[F(u_n) - F(u_n - u)] - (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * H_n)F(u).\end{aligned}$$

Noting that H_n is bounded in $L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $H_n \to 0$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$, by Lemma 2.3, $H_n \to 0$ weakly in $L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. By Remark 2.1, $I_\alpha * H_n \to 0$ weakly in $L^{2N/(N-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, which yields that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_4(n)} (I_\alpha * H_n)F(u) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * F(u_n))F(u_n)-(I_\alpha * F(u_n-u))F(u_n-u)-(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u)\right|\le\delta.$$

By the arbitrary choice of δ, the proof is completed. □

Next, we give the following splitting lemma.

Lemma 2.4. (Splitting Lemma) *Assume $\alpha\in((N-4)_+,N)$, $(F1)$-$(F2)$ and let $\{u_n\}\subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n\to u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n\to\infty$, then passing to a subsequence, if necessary,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\big([I_\alpha * F(u_n)]f(u_n)-[I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]f(u_n-u)-[I_\alpha * F(u)]f(u)\big)\phi=o_n(1)\|\phi\|,$$

where $o_n(1)\to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$.

To prove Lemma 2.4, we give Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain and $\{u_n\}\subset H^1(\Omega)$ such that $u_n\to u$ weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω as $n\to\infty$.*

(i) For any $1<q\le r\le 2N/(N-2)$ and $r>2$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega\big||u_n|^{q-1}u_n-|u_n-u|^{q-1}(u_n-u)-|u|^{q-1}u\big|^{\frac{r}{q}}=0.$$

(ii) Assume $h\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and $h(t)=o(t)$ as $t\to 0$, $|h(t)|\le c(1+|t|^q)$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$ where $q\in(1,(N+2)/(N-2)]$, then

$(ii)_1$ for any $r\in[q+1,2N/(N-2)]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega\big|H(u_n)-H(u_n-u)-H(u)\big|^{\frac{r}{q+1}}=0,$$

where $H(t)=\int_0^t h(s)\,\mathrm{d}s$,

$(ii)_2$ if we further assume that $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^N$, $\alpha\in((N-4)_+,N)$ and $\lim_{|t|\to\infty}h(t)|t|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}=0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)-h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}=o_n(1)\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}},$$

where $o_n(1)\to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and $(ii)_1$ are similar to [57, Lemma 2.5]. We only give the proof of $(ii)_2$ which is inspired by [1] and [59, Lemma 4.7].

In the following, let C be positive constants (independent of ε,k), which may change from line to line. For any fixed $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, there exists $s_0=s_0(\varepsilon)\in(0,1)$ such that $|h(t)|\le\varepsilon|t|$ for $|t|\le 2s_0$. Choosing $s_1=s_1(\varepsilon)>2$ such that $|h(t)|\le\varepsilon|t|^{(2+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for $|t|\ge s_1-1$. From the continuity of h, there exists $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)\in(0,s_0)$ such that $|h(t_1)-h(t_2)|\le s_0\varepsilon$ for $|t_1-t_2|\le\delta, |t_1|,|t_2|\le s_1+1$. Moreover, there exists $c(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $|h(t)|\le c(\varepsilon)|t|+\varepsilon|t|^{(2+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Noting that $\alpha\in((N-4)_+,N)$, we know $2<4N/(N+\alpha)<2N/(N-2)$. Then there exists $R=R(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}|h(u)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}\left(|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+\varepsilon|u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}|u|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac12} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N+\alpha}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Setting $A_n := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R) : |u_n(x)|\le s_0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{A_n\cap\{|u|\le\delta\}}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+|u_n-u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $B_n := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R) : |u_n(x)|\ge s_1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_n\cap\{|u|\le\delta\}}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(|u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+|u_n-u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $C_n := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R) : s_0\le|u_n(x)|\le s_1\}$, then $|C_n|<\infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_n\cap\{|u|\le\delta\}}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le (s_0\varepsilon)^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\int_{C_n\cap\{|u|\le\delta\}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le (s_0\varepsilon)^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|C_n|^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac12} \\
&\le \varepsilon^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\int_{C_n}|u_n|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac12}\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\le\delta\} = A_n\cup B_n\cup C_n$ and

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\le\delta\}}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\ \text{ for all }\ n.$$

Obviously, for ε given above, there exists $c(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} &\le \varepsilon(|u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+|u_n-u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}) \\
&\quad + c(\varepsilon)(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+|u_n-u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\ge\delta\}}|h(u_n)-h(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\ge\delta\}}\varepsilon(|u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}+|u_n-u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}})|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ c(\varepsilon)(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}})|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + c(\varepsilon)\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\ge\delta\}} (|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}})|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $0 < \alpha + 4 - N < N + \alpha$ and $|(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R)) \cap \{|u| \ge \delta\}| \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$, there exists $R = R(\varepsilon)$ large enough, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\ge\delta\}} c(\varepsilon)(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}})|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le c(\varepsilon)\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}\right] \\
&\quad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}} |(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R)) \cap \{|u| \ge \delta\}|^{\frac{\alpha+4-N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le \varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any n,

$$
\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R))\cap\{|u|\ge\delta\}} |h(u_n) - h(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
$$

Thus, by (2.1), for any n,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)} |h(u_n) - h(u) - h(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}. \tag{2.2}
$$

Finally, for $\varepsilon > 0$ given above, there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$
|h(t)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C(\varepsilon)|t|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + \varepsilon|t|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}},\ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}
$$

Recalling that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a subsequence, $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^{4N/(N+\alpha)}(B(0,R))$ and there exists $\omega \in L^{4N/(N+\alpha)}(B(0,R))$ such that $|u_n(x)|, |u(x)| \le |\omega(x)|$ a.e. $x \in B(0,R)$. Then it is easy to know for n large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(0,R)} |h(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le \int_{B(0,R)} \left(C(\varepsilon)|u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + \varepsilon|u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}
$$

Moreover, let $D_n := \{x \in B(0,R) : |u_n(x) - u(x)| \ge 1\}$, then by (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_n} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le \int_{D_n}\left[C(\varepsilon)(|u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}) + \varepsilon(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}} + |u|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}})\right]|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + 2C(\varepsilon)\int_{D_n}|\omega|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + 2C(\varepsilon)\left(\int_{D_n}|\omega|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By $u_n \to u$ a.e. $x \in B(0,R)$, we get that $|D_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence,

$$\int_{D_n} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon \|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}, \text{ for } n \text{ large.} \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, for ε given above, there exists $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le{}& \varepsilon(|u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}) \\ &+ c(\varepsilon)(|u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $|\{|u| \ge L\}| \to 0$ as $L \to \infty$, similar as above, there exists $L = L(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all n,

$$\int_{(B(0,R)\setminus D_n)\cap\{|u|\ge L\}} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon \|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_{(B(0,R)\setminus D_n)\cap\{|u|\le L\}} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = o_n(1)\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}},$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly for ϕ. Then by (2.5),

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |h(u_n) - h(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon \|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}, \text{ for } n \text{ large.}$$

Then by (2.4) and for n large,

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |h(u_n) - h(u) - h(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C\varepsilon \|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}, \text{ for } n \text{ large..}$$

Therefore, combing (2.2), the proof is completed □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in (0,N)$ and $s \in (1, N/\alpha)$ and $\{g_n\} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be bounded both in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that up to subsequences, for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $g_n \to 0$ strongly in $L^s(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, then passing to a subsequence if necessary, $(I_\alpha * g_n)(x) \to 0$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, passing to a subsequence if necessary, $(I_\alpha * g_n)(x) \to 0$ a. e. in $B_k(0)$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ be fixed and for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $K = K(\delta) > k$ such that

$$A_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_K(x)} \frac{|g_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}y \le \delta, \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, n \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

Obviously, $B_K(x) \subset B_{2K}(0)$ for any $x \in B_K(0)$. Noting that $g_n \chi_{B_{2K}(0)} \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Remark 2.1,

$$\|I_\alpha * (|g_n|\chi_{B_{2K}(0)})\|_{L^{\frac{Ns}{N-\alpha s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C\|g_n\|_{L^s(B_{2K}(0))},$$

where C depends only on N, α. It follows that up to a subsequence, $I_\alpha * (|g_n|\chi_{B_{2K}(0)}) \to 0$ strongly in $L^{\frac{Ns}{N-\alpha s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a. e. in $B_k(0)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then for almost every $x \in B_k(0)$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |(I_\alpha * g_n)(x)|$$

$$\leq A_\alpha \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_{B_K(x)} \frac{|g_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_K(x)} \frac{|g_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y \right)$$
$$\leq \delta + A_\alpha \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_K(x)} \frac{|g_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y$$
$$\leq \delta + A_\alpha \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_{2K}(0)} \frac{|g_n(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \delta + \limsup_{n\to\infty} [I_\alpha * (|g_n|\chi_{B_{2K}}(0))](x) = \delta.$$

Since δ is arbitrary, the proof is completed. □

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let

$$f_1(t) = f(t) - |t|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}t,\ F_1(t) = \int_0^t f_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}s,\ t\in\mathbb{R},$$

then we observe that for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]f(u_n)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]f_1(u_n)\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]|u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}u_n\phi.$$

Step 1. We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]|u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}u_n\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]|u_n-u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}(u_n-u)\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)]|u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}u\phi + o_n(1)\|\phi\|,$$

where $o_n(1)\to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$. Noting that $\alpha > N-4$, by $(ii)_1$ of Lemma 2.5 with $h(t)=f(t)$, $q=(2+\alpha)/(N-2), r=2N/(N-2)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)-F(u)\right|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Then for $v_n = |u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}u_n, |u_n-u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}(u_n-u)$ or $|u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}u$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{\frac{2N}{2+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N+\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}$$

which follows that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * (F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)-F(u))]v_n\phi\right|$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|F(u_n)-F(u_n-u)-F(u))\right|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}}$$
$$= o_n(1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} = o_n(1)\|\phi\|,$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$.

On the other hand, by virtue of (i) of Lemma 2.5 with $q = (2+\alpha)/(N-2)$ and $r = 2N/(N-2)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u_n - |u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u) - |u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u \right|^{\frac{2N}{2+\alpha}} = 0.$$

For $w_n = F(u_n), F(u_n - u)$ or $F(u)$, it is easy to know $\{w_n\} \subset L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded in $L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hölder's inequality, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * w_n][|u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u_n - |u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u) - |u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u]\phi \right| \\
&\le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u_n - |u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u) - |u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u \right|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\
&\le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| |u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u_n - |u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u) - |u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u \right|^{\frac{2N}{2+\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2N}} \\
&= o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]|u_n|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u_n \phi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)]|u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u)\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)]|u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u\phi \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)]|u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)]|u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u)\phi + o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Noting that $F(u) \in L^{2N/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Remark 2.1, $|I_\alpha * F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \in L^{\frac{N+2}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By virtue of Lemma 2.3, $|u_n - u|^{\frac{2N(2+\alpha)}{(N-2)(N+2)}} \to 0$ weakly in $L^{(N+2)/(2+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to 0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |I_\alpha * F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N(2+\alpha)}{(N-2)(N+2)}} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)]|u_n - u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} (u_n - u)\phi \right| \\
&\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |I_\alpha * F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N(2+\alpha)}{(N-2)(N+2)}} \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2N}} = o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Meanwhile, since $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$, for $s \in (1, \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}) \subset (1, \frac{N}{\alpha})$, by Rellich's theorem, up to sequences, for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $F(u_n - u) \to 0$ strongly in $L^s(\Omega)$ as

$n \to \infty$. By Lemma 2.6, up to a sequence, $I_\alpha * F(u_n - u) \to 0$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to 0$. By Remark 2.1,

$$\sup_n \| |I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \|_{L^{\frac{N+2}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C \sup_n \|F(u_n - u)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty,$$

which yields by Lemma 2.3 that $|I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \to 0$ weakly in $L^{\frac{N+2}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Noting that $|u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+2}} \in L^{\frac{N+2}{2+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} |u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+2}} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

and by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] |u|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}} u\phi \right| \\
&\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} |u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+2}} \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2N}} \\
&= o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. The claim is concluded.

Step 2. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)] f_1(u_n)\phi = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] f_1(u_n - u)\phi \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)] f_1(u)\phi + o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. First, we prove that

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * (F(u_n) - F(u_n - u) - F(u))] f_1(u_n)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * (F(u_n) - F(u_n - u) - F(u))] f_1(u_n - u)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * (F(u_n) - F(u_n - u) - F(u))] f_1(u)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. We only prove the first quantity above. Other quantities can be proved in a similar way. Observe that there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $C(\delta) > 0$ such that $|f_1(t)| \le |t|$ for $|t| \le \delta$ and $|f_1(t)| \le C(\delta)|t|^{(2+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for $|t| \ge \delta$. Noting that $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$, we know $2 < 4N/(N+\alpha) < 2N/(N-2)$. Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of ϕ, n) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \le \delta\}} |f_1(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \ge \delta\}} |f_1(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \le \delta\}} |u_n\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + [C(\delta)]^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \ge \delta\}} |u_n|^{\frac{2N(2+\alpha)}{(N-2)(N+\alpha)}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{4N}{N+\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + [C(\delta)]^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N+\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}} \\
&\le C\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \le C\|\phi\| \text{ uniformly for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Then by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * (F(u_n) - F(u_n - u) - F(u))] f_1(u_n)\phi\right| \\
&\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|F(u_n) - F(u_n - u) - F(u)\right|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\
&= o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. So (2.9) holds.

Second, we prove that

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))[f_1(u_n) - f_1(u_n - u) - f_1(u)]\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u))[f_1(u_n) - f_1(u_n - u) - f_1(u)]\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))[f_1(u_n) - f_1(u_n - u) - f_1(u)]\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Similar as in Step 1, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and $(ii)_2$ of Lemma 2.5, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))[f_1(u_n) - f_1(u_n - u) - f_1(u)]\phi\right| \\
&\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1(u_n) - f_1(u_n - u) - f_1(u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} |\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\
&= o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. So the first quantity of (2.10) is concluded.

Then, combing (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)] f_1(u_n)\phi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] f_1(u_n - u)\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)] f_1(u)\phi \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] f_1(u)\phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)] f_1(u_n - u)\phi + o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. To conclude the proof of (2.8), it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] f_1(u)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u)] f_1(u_n - u)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|, \tag{2.12}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Notice that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, there exist $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|f_1(t)| \le \varepsilon|t|$ for $|t| \le \delta$ and $|f_1(t)| \le C_\varepsilon|t|^{(2+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for $|t| \ge \delta$. Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]f_1(u)\phi\right| \\
&\le \varepsilon\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:|u(x)|\le\delta\}}|I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)||u\phi| + C_\varepsilon\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:|u(x)|\ge\delta\}}|I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)||u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}}|\phi| \\
&\le \varepsilon\|F(u_n-u)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\left(\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:|u(x)|\le\delta\}}|u\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}|u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+2}}\right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar as above, there exists $c > 0$ (independent of $\phi, \delta, \varepsilon$) such that

$$\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N:|u(x)|\le\delta\}}|u\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le c\|\phi\|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.$$

Then by (2.7), there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ (independent of ϕ, ε) such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]f_1(u)\phi\right| \le \tilde{C}\varepsilon\|\phi\|.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]f_1(u)\phi = o_n(1)\|\phi\|,$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, (2.12) can be proved.

Therefore, the proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed. □

2.2. **Ground state solutions.** Since we are looking positive ground solutions of (1.2), in this section, we may assume that f is odd in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We adapt the monotonicity trick due to L. Jeanjean [27] to seek ground state solutions of (1.2).

For $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$, we consider the family of functionals as follows.

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 + au^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Obviously, if f satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$ $I_\lambda \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and every critical point of I_λ is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta u + au = \lambda(I_\alpha * F(u))f(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.13}$$

To guarantee the existence of critical points to I_λ, we recall the following abstract result, which was introduced by L. Jeanjean [27].

Theorem A. [see [27]] *Let X be a Banach space equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, $J \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be an interval and a family of C^1-class functionals $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in J}$ on X of the form*

$$I_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u), \ u \in X.$$

Assume that $B(u) \geq 0$ for any $u \in X$, one of A, B is coercive in X and there are two points $v_1, v_2 \in X$ such that for any $\lambda \in J$,

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)) > \max\{I_\lambda(v_1), I_\lambda(v_2\},$$

where $\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_1, \gamma(1) = v_2\}$. Then for almost every $\lambda \in J$, I_λ admits a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for the level c_λ, Namely, there exists $\{v_n\} \subset X$ such that

(i) *$\{v_n\}$ is bounded in X,*
(ii) *$I_\lambda(v_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $I'_\lambda(v_n) \to 0$ in X^{-1} as $n \to \infty$.*

Moreover, c_λ is continuous from the left-hand side with respect to $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$.

In the following, we use Theorem A to seek nontrivial weak solutions of (2.13) for almost every $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$. Then by passing to the limit, we get nontrivial solutions of the original problem (1.2). In what follows, let $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$A(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + au^2,\ B(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u).$$

Obviously, $A(u) \to +\infty$ as $\|u\| \to \infty$. Thanks to $(F3)$, $B(u) \geq 0$ for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, by $(F1)$-$(F2)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $F(t) \leq \varepsilon|t|^{(N+\alpha)/N} + C_\varepsilon|t|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then similar as in [37], there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2, \text{ if } \|u\| \leq \delta,$$

and therefore for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lambda \in J$,

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + au^2 > 0, \text{ if } 0 < \|u\| \leq \delta. \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, taking a fixed $0 \neq u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for any $\lambda \in J, t > 0$, by $(F3)$,

$$I_\lambda(\lambda u_0) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 + a|u_0|^2 - \frac{t^{\frac{2N+2\alpha}{N-2}}}{4}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_0|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|u_0|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}$$

and $I_\lambda(tu_0) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ (independent of λ) such that $I_\lambda(t_0u_0) < 0$, $\lambda \in J$ and $\|t_0u_0\| > \delta$. Let

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0u_0\}$.

Remark 2.2. *Here we remark that c_λ is independent of u_0. In fact, let*

$$d_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_1}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma_1 := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$. Obviously, $d_\lambda \leq c_\lambda$. On the other hand, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, it follows from (2.14) that $\|\gamma(1)\| > \delta$. Due to the path connectedness of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $\tilde{\gamma} \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(2t)$ if $t \in [0, 1/2]$, $\|\tilde{\gamma}(t)\| > \delta$ if $t \in [1/2, 1]$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = t_0u_0$. Then $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ and $\max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\lambda(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\lambda(\gamma(t))$, which implies that $c_\lambda \leq d_\lambda$ and so $d_\lambda = c_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in J$.

By (2.14), $c_\lambda > \delta^2/4$ for any $\lambda \in J$. Then, as a consequence of Theorem A, we have

Lemma 2.7. *Assume (F1)-(F3), for almost every $\lambda \in J = [1/2, 1]$, problem (2.13) possesses a bounded Palais-Smale sequence at the level c_λ. Namely, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

(i) *$\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*
(ii) *$I_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $I'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

In the following, in the spirit of [28,34], we give a decomposition of $\{u_n\}$ above, which plays a crucial role in the existence of ground states to (1.2). But due to the present of a nonlocal and critical nonlinearity in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev case, in contrast with the local term in the subcritical case, the proof becomes much more complicated.

Proposition 2.1. *With the same assumptions in Theorem 1.2 and let $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$, $\{u_n\}$ be given in Lemma 2.7. Assume that $u_n \to u_\lambda$ weakly but not strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, then up to a sequence, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\{x_n^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\{v_\lambda^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

(i) *$I'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*
(ii) *$v_\lambda^j \neq 0$ and $I'_\lambda(v_\lambda^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$,*
(iii) *$c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^k I_\lambda(v_\lambda^j)$,*
(iv) *$\left\| u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^k v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,*
(v) *$|x_n^j| \to \infty$ and $|x_n^i - x_n^j| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $i \neq j$.*

Before proving Proposition 2.1, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$ and u_λ be any nontrivial weak solution of (2.13), then u_λ satisfies the following Pohozăev identity*

$$\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 + \frac{N}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\lambda|^2 = \frac{N+\alpha}{2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_\lambda)) F(u_\lambda).$$

Moreover, there exists $\beta, \gamma > 0$ (independent of $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$) such that $\|u_\lambda\| \geq \beta$ and $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \geq \gamma$ for any nontrivial solution u_λ, $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$.

Proof. The proof of Pohozăev's identity is similar as in [37, Theorem 3]. We omit the detail here. Let $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$ and u_λ be any nontrivial weak solution of (2.13), then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 + a|u_\lambda|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_\lambda)) f(u_\lambda) u_\lambda. \tag{2.15}$$

Thanks to (F1)-(F2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $F(t), tf(t) \leq \varepsilon |t|^{(N+\alpha)/N} + C_\varepsilon |t|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then similar as in [37], there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) f(u) u \leq \frac{\|u\|^2}{2}, \text{ if } \|u\| \leq \beta,$$

which yields by (2.15) that $\|u_\lambda\| \geq \beta$. Meanwhile, by Pohozăev's identity,

$$I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 + \frac{\alpha a}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\lambda|^2$$

and then we conclude the proof. □

Let $\alpha \in (0,N)$. For any $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Sobolev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} &\le A_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ &\le A_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} := \inf_{0\neq u\in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha := \inf_{0\neq u\in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}} \ge \frac{\mathcal{S}}{(A_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}}.$$

In [25, Lemma 1.2], F. Gao and M. Yang proved that $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{S}/(A_\alpha\mathcal{C}_\alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}$ and can be achieved by the instanton

$$U(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

Now, we give an upper estimate of c_λ.

Lemma 2.9. *For any $\lambda \in [1/2,1]$, $\alpha \in (0,N)$ and assume*

$$q > \max\left\{1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}, \frac{N+\alpha}{2(N-2)}\right\},$$

then we have

$$c_\lambda < \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a cut-off function with support B_2 such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on B_1 and $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ on B_2, where B_r denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of center at origin and radius r. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we set $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x)U_\varepsilon(x)$, where

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\left(N(N-2)\varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{\left(\varepsilon^2+|x|^2\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

By [5](see also [53, Lemma 1.46]), we have the following estimates:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}} + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{N-2}), & \text{if } N \ge 4, \\ K_1\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^3), & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^N), \text{ if } N \ge 3,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_\varepsilon|^2 = \begin{cases} K_2\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}), & \text{if } N \geq 5, \\ K_2\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } N = 4, \\ K_2\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$

where $K_1, K_2 > 0$. Then we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}} + \begin{cases} aK_2\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}), & \text{if } N \geq 5, \\ aK_2\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } N = 4, \\ (K_1 + aK_2)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

By direct computation, we know

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2Nq}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} = K_3\varepsilon^{N+\alpha-(N-2)q} + o(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}),$$

and then by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|\psi_\varepsilon|^q &\leq \mathcal{C}_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2Nq}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\ &\leq K_4\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $K_3, K_4 > 0$. Moreover, similar as in [25, 26], by direct computation, for some $K_5 > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \geq (A_\alpha\mathcal{C}_\alpha)^{\frac{N}{2}}\mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} - K_5\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}). \tag{2.18}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|\psi_\varepsilon|^q \\ &\geq A_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1}\int_{B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \int_{B_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \right), \end{aligned}$$

where for some $\tilde{K}_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y = \tilde{K}_1\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1}\int_{B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \leq \tilde{K}_2\varepsilon^{N+\alpha-\frac{N-2}{2}q} + o(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha-\frac{N-2}{2}q}), \\ \int_{B_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \leq \tilde{K}_3\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}q} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}q}), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \frac{U_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}(x)U_\varepsilon^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \leq \tilde{K}_4\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha+(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha+(N-2)q}{2}}), \end{cases}$$

then for some $K_6 > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\psi_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})|\psi_\varepsilon|^q \geq K_6 \varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}). \tag{2.19}$$

Here, we used the fact that $q > (N+\alpha)/[2(N-2)]$. Then for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(t\psi_\varepsilon) \leq & \frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{\mu\lambda}{q}\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha} t^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^q \\ & - \frac{t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}}}{2}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right)^2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \\ := & g_\varepsilon(t). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $g_\varepsilon(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ and $g_\varepsilon(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ small. Similar to [48, Lemma 3.3], g_ε has a unique critical point t_ε in $(0, +\infty)$, which is the maximum point of g_ε. Meanwhile, by $g_\varepsilon'(t_\varepsilon) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & t_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 - \left(q + \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)\frac{\mu\lambda}{q}\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha} t_\varepsilon^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^q \\ = & t_\varepsilon^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}-1} \frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Claim. There exists $t_0, t_1 > 0$(independent of ε) such that $t_\varepsilon \in [t_0, t_1]$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. First, if $t_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, then by (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18), there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$(independent of ε) such that for ε small,

$$c_1 t_\varepsilon \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} t_\varepsilon^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-1} + t_\varepsilon^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-1} \leq 2t_\varepsilon^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-1},$$

where we used the fact that $q < (N+\alpha)/(N-2)$. Then we get a contradiction. Second, by (2.20),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 \geq t_\varepsilon^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}-2} \frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}},$$

which implies combing (2.16) and (2.18) that $t_\varepsilon \leq t_1$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and ε small.

Then, by **Claim** and (2.19), for some $K_7 > 0$,

$$\frac{\mu\lambda}{q}\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha} t_\varepsilon^{q+\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^q \geq K_7 \varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}),$$

which follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{t\geq 0} I_\lambda(t\psi_\varepsilon) = g_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon) \\ & \leq \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 - K_7\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} \\ & \quad - \frac{t_\varepsilon^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}}}{2}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right)^2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}) \\ & \leq \max_{t\geq 0}\left[\frac{t^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}}}{2}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right)^2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- K_7\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}) \\
&= \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}}} \\
&- K_7\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}).
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.16) and (2.18), for some $K_8 > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + a|\psi_\varepsilon|^2\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(I_\alpha * \psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})\psi_\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}}} \\
&\le \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} + \begin{cases} K_8\varepsilon^{\min\{2,\frac{N+\alpha}{2}\}} + o(\varepsilon^{\min\{2,\frac{N+\alpha}{2}\}}), & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ K_8\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon| + o(\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon|), & \text{if } N = 4, \\ K_8\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for some $K_9, K_{10} > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max_{t\ge 0} I_\lambda(t\psi_\varepsilon) &\le \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \\
&\quad + \begin{cases} K_9\varepsilon^{\min\{2,\frac{N+\alpha}{2}\}} - K_{10}\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}), & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ K_9\varepsilon^2|\ln\varepsilon| - K_{10}\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}), & \text{if } N = 4, \\ K_9\varepsilon - K_{10}\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2)q}{2}}), & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases} \\
&< \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}, \text{ if } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small enough},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $N + \alpha - (N-2)q < \min\{2, \frac{N+\alpha}{2}\}$. Therefore, for any $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we get

$$
c_\lambda \le \max_{t\ge 0} I_\lambda(t\psi_\varepsilon) < \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}.
$$

The proof is completed. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $\lambda \in [1/2, 1]$ and assume $u_n \to u_\lambda$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ but not strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and satisfies $I_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda$ and $I'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Step 1. We claim that $I'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As a consequence of Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show for any fixed $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a sequence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n - u)] f(u_n - u)\phi \to 0, \text{as } n \to \infty.
$$

In fact, by $(F1)$-$(F2)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$
|f(t)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \le C(|t|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} + |t|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

By virtue of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Rellich's theorem, up to a sequence, for some C(independent of n) such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F(u_n-u)]f(u_n-u)\phi\right| \le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|f(u_n-u)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \to 0, \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Step 2. Set $v_n^1 := u_n - u_\lambda$, we claim that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{B_1(z)}|v_n^1|^2 > 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Otherwise, by Lions' lemma [34, Lemma I.1], $v_n^1 \to 0$ strongly in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$ for any $t\in(2,2N/(N-2))$. Noting that $\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), v_n^1\rangle \to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ and $\langle I'_\lambda(u_\lambda), v_n^1\rangle = 0$ for any n, by virtue of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{cases} c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + I_\lambda(v_n^1) + o_n(1), \\ \|v_n^1\|^2 = \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F(v_n^1)]f(v_n^1)v_n^1 + o_n(1), \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

where $o_n(1)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Now, we show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F_1(v_n^1)]F_1(v_n^1) = 0,$$

where

$$f_1(t) = f(t) - |t|^{\frac{4+\alpha-N}{N-2}}t,\ F_1(t) = \int_0^t f_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}s,\ t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Notice that $4N/(N+\alpha) \in (2,2N/(N-2))$ and $f_1(t) = o(t)$ as $|t|\to 0$, $\lim_{|t|\to\infty}|f_1(t)|/|t|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}} = 0$. It is easy to know

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|F_1(v_n^1)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} = 0,$$

which yields by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that there exists some $C>0$(independent of n), such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F_1(v_n^1)]F_1(v_n^1)\right| \le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|F_1(v_n^1)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \to 0, \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F_1(v_n^1)]|v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} = 0, \\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * F_1(v_n^1)]f_1(v_n^1)v_n^1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.22), we get

$$\begin{cases} c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}\|v_n^1\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * |v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}]|v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} + o_n(1), \\ \|v_n^1\|^2 = \lambda\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * |v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}]|v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} + o_n(1), \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

where $o_n(1)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Recalling that $v_n^1 \not\to 0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$, let

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|v_n^1\|^2 = \lambda\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[I_\alpha * |v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}]|v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} = b,$$

then $b > 0$. Noting that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n^1|^2 \geq \mathcal{S}_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * |v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}] |v_n^1|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}},$$

we know

$$b \geq \left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}.$$

By Lemma 2.8 and (2.23)

$$c_\lambda \geq \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2+\alpha}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, (2.21) is true.

Step 3. By (2.21) and $v_n^1 \to 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, there exists $\{z_n^1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|z_n^1| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_1(z_n^1)} |v_n^1|^2 > 0$. Let $u_n^1 = v_n^1(\cdot + z_n^1)$, then up to a sequence, $u_n^1 \to v_\lambda^1$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $v_\lambda^1 \neq 0$. By virtue of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, it is easy to know

$$I_\lambda(u_n^1) \to c_\lambda - I_\lambda(u_\lambda),\ I'_\lambda(u_n^1) \to 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Similar as above, $I'_\lambda(v_\lambda^1) = 0$. Let $v_n^2 = u_n^1 - v_\lambda^1$, then

$$u_n = u_\lambda + v_\lambda^1(\cdot - z_n^1) + v_n^2(\cdot - z_n^1).$$

If $v_n^2 \to 0$, i. e., $u_n^1 \to v_\lambda^1$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\begin{cases} c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + I_\lambda(v_\lambda^1), \\ \|u_n - u_\lambda - v_\lambda^1(\cdot - z_n^1)\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

and we are done. Otherwise, If $v_n^2 \not\to 0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, similar as above, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |v_n^2|^2 > 0$. Then there exists $\{z_n^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|z_n^2| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_1(z_n^2)} |v_n^2|^2 > 0$. Let $u_n^2 = v_n^2(\cdot + z_n^2)$, then up to a sequence, $u_n^2 \to v_\lambda^2$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $v_\lambda^2 \neq 0$. Similar as above, $I'_\lambda(v_\lambda^2) = 0$ and

$$I_\lambda(u_n^2) \to c_\lambda - I_\lambda(u_\lambda) - I_\lambda(v_\lambda^1),\ I'_\lambda(u_n^2) \to 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let $v_n^3 = u_n^2 - v_\lambda^2$, then

$$u_n = u_\lambda + v_\lambda^1(\cdot - z_n^1) + v_\lambda^2(\cdot - z_n^1 - z_n^2) + v_n^3(\cdot - z_n^1 - z_n^2).$$

If $v_n^3 \to 0$, i. e., $u_n^2 \to v_\lambda^2$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\begin{cases} c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + I_\lambda(v_\lambda^1) + I_\lambda(v_\lambda^2), \\ \|u_n - u_\lambda - v_\lambda^1(\cdot - z_n^1) - v_\lambda^2(\cdot - z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

and we are done. Otherwise, we can repeat the procedure above. By Lemma 2.8, we will have to terminate our arguments by repeating the above proof by finite number k of steps. That is, let $x_n^j = \sum_{i=1}^j z_n^i$, then

$$\begin{cases} c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^k I_\lambda(v_\lambda^j), \\ \left\| u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^k v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Step 4. We show that after extracting a subsequence of $\{x_n^j\}$ and redefining $\{v_\lambda^j\}$ if necessary, Property (iii), (iv), (v) hold. Let $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and satisfy $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2 = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\{x_n^j\}_n$ is bounded if $j \in \Lambda_1$, $|x_n^j| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ if $j \in \Lambda_2$. Then for any $j \in \Lambda_1$ if $\Lambda_1 \neq \emptyset$, there exists $0 \neq v^j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a sequence, $v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \to v^j$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $I'_\lambda(v^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Rellich's theorem, for any $t \in [2, 2N/(N-2))$, $v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \to v^j$ strongly in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Noting that $I'_\lambda(v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $I_\lambda(v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) \leq c_\lambda$, similar as Step 2, we know $v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \to v^j$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, up to a sequence, there exists $\tilde{v}^j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \to \tilde{v}^j$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, which implies that $\left\|u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2} v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)\right\| \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Recalling that $\|u_n - u_\lambda\| \not\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, $\Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_n^i \in \Lambda_2$ and $\Lambda_2^i := \left\{j \in \Lambda_2 : |x_n^i - x_n^j| \text{ is bounded for } n\right\}$, then similar as above, up to a sequence, for some $\tilde{v}_\lambda^i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $\sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^i} v_\lambda^j(\cdot + x_n^i - x_n^j) \to \tilde{v}_\lambda^i$ strongly $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then as $n \to \infty$, $\left\|u_n - u_\lambda - \tilde{v}_\lambda^i(\cdot - x_n^i) - \sum_{j\in(\Lambda_2\setminus\Lambda_2^i)} v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)\right\| \to 0$. Without loss generality, we may assume that $\tilde{v}_\lambda^i \neq 0$. Noting that $u_n(\cdot + x_n^i) \to \tilde{v}_\lambda^i$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$, we get $I'_\lambda(\tilde{v}_\lambda^i) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we redefine $v_\lambda^i := \tilde{v}_\lambda^i$ and as $n \to \infty$, $\left\|u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{j\in(\Lambda_2\setminus\Lambda_2^i)\cup\{i\}} v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)\right\| \to 0$. By repeating the argument above by at most $(k-1)$ times and redefining $\{v_\lambda^j\}$ if necessary, there exists $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |x_n^j| \to \infty \text{ and } |x_n^i - x_n^j| \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ for any } i, j \in \Lambda \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ \|u_n - u_\lambda - \sum_{j\in\Lambda} v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)\| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.2, it is easy to know $c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j\in\Lambda} I_\lambda(v_\lambda^j)$. The proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, as a consequence of Lemma 2.7, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.8, it is easy to know for almost every $\lambda \in J = [1/2, 1]$, problem (2.13) admits a nontrivial solution u_λ satisfying $\|u_\lambda\| \geq \beta$ and $\gamma \leq I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq c_\lambda$, where $\beta, \gamma > 0$(independent of λ). Then there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [1/2, 1]$ and $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\lambda_n \to 1, \ \gamma \leq I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \leq c_{\lambda_n}, \ I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.24}$$

By Pohozăev's identity,

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \frac{\alpha a}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2$$

and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Notice that

$$L_a(u) = I_\lambda(u) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then by (2.24), up to a sequence, there exists $c_0 \in [\gamma, c_1]$ such that

$$c_0 := \lim_{n\to\infty} L_a(u_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} c_{\lambda_n} = c_1,$$

where we used the fact that c_λ is continuous from the left-hand side at λ. Moreover, by (2.24), for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\langle L_a'(u_n), \phi\rangle = (\lambda_n - 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]f(u_n)\phi.$$

Similar as above, there exists some $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \le C\|\phi\| \text{ uniformly for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

and by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle L_a'(u_n), \phi\rangle| &= (1 - \lambda_n)\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [I_\alpha * F(u_n)]f(u_n)\phi\right| \\
&\le C(1 - \lambda_n)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(u_n)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n)\phi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2N}} \\
&= o_n(1)\|\phi\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $o_n(1) \to 0$ uniformly for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. That is $L_a'(u_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. In sum, we get that

$$\|u_n\| \ge \beta,\ L_a(u_n) \to c_0 \le c_1,\ L_a'(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

We assume that $u_n \to u_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. If $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $\|u_0\| \ge \beta$ $L_a(u_0) = c_0 \le c_1$ and $L_a'(u_0) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Otherwise, as a consequence of Proposition 2.1 with $\lambda = 1, c_\lambda = c_0, u_\lambda = u_0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\{v^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $v^j \ne 0$, $L_a'(v^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all j and $c_0 = L_a(u_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k L_a(v^j)$. So let $\mathcal{N} := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) : L_a'(u) = 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$, then $\mathcal{N} \ne \emptyset$ and $\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} L_a(u) = E_a \in [\gamma, c_1]$.

Finally, to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, we show that E_a can be achieved. Obviously, there exists $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that as $n \to \infty$, $L_a(v_n) \to E_a$ and $L_a'(v_n) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Similar as above, $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that $v_n \to v_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, then $L_a'(v_0) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $v_n \to v_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $L_a(v_0) = E_a$. Namely, v_0 is a ground state solution of (1.2). Otherwise, similar as above, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\{v^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $v^j \ne 0$, $L_a'(v^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all j and $E_a = L_a(v_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k L_a(v^j)$. By the definition of E_a, $v_0 = 0$, $k = 1$ and $L_a(v^1) = E_a$, which yields that v^1 is a ground state solution of (1.2). The proof is completed. □

2.3. **Compactness of the set of ground states solutions.** Denote the set of ground state solutions to (1.2) by

$$\mathcal{N}_a := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : L_a(u) = E_a, L_a'(u) = 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

then by Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{N}_a \ne \emptyset$ for any $a > 0$. Since L_a is invariant by translations, $\mathcal{N}_a$ loses the compactness in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. However, we have

Proposition 2.2. *For any $a > 0$, up to translations, $\mathcal{N}_a$ is compact in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_a$, then $L_a(u_n) = E_a$ and $L_a'(u_n) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Similar as above, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that $u_n \to u_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, then $L_a'(u_0) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we are done. Otherwise, by virtue of Proposition 2.1, up to a sequence, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\{x_n^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\{v^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $v^j \neq 0$, $L_a'(v^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all j and

$$\begin{cases} E_a = L_a(u_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k L_a(v^j), \\ \|u_n - u_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k v_\lambda^j(\cdot - x_n^j)\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

which implies that $u_0 = 0$, $k = 1$, $v^1 \in \mathcal{N}_a$ and $\|u_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - v_\lambda^1\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This finishes the proof. □

2.4. **Regularity, positivity and symmetry.** Now, we adopt some ideas from [4, 37] to give the boundedness, decay, positivity and symmetry of ground state solutions to (1.2).

Proposition 2.3. *For any $a > 0$, we have*

(*i*) $0 < \inf\{\|u\|_\infty : u \in \mathcal{N}_a\} \le \sup\{\|u\|_\infty : u \in \mathcal{N}_a\} < \infty$.
(*ii*) *For any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\gamma \in (0,1)$.*
(*iii*) *For any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, u has a constant sign and is radially symmetric about a point.*
(*iv*) *E_a coincides with the mountain pass value.*
(*v*) *There exist $C, c > 0$, independent of $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, such that $|D^{\alpha_1}u(x)| \le C\exp(-c|x - x_0|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for $|\alpha_1| = 0, 1$, where $|u(x_0)| = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|$.*

Proof. First, by Pohozaev's inequality, it is easy to know $\mathcal{N}_a$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Claim 1. For any $p \in [2, \frac{N}{\alpha}\frac{2N}{N-2})$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_p \le C_p\|u\|_2, \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_a. \tag{2.25}$$

In fact, for any fixed $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, let $H(u) = F(u)/u$ and $K(u) = f(u)$ in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : u(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $R > 0$ and $\phi_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\phi_R(t) \in [0, 1]$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi_R(t) = 1$ for $|t| \le R$ and $\phi_R(t) = 0$ for $|t| \ge 2R$. Setting

$$\begin{cases} H^*(u) = \phi_R(u)H(u), \quad H_*(u) = H(u) - H^*(u), \\ K^*(u) = \phi_R(u)K(u), \quad K_*(u) = K(u) - K^*(u). \end{cases}$$

By $(F1)$-$(F2)$, there exists $C > 0$(depending only on R) such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{cases} |H^*(u)| \le C|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}, \quad |K^*(u)| \le C|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}, \\ |H_*(u)| \le C|u|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}, \quad |K_*(u)| \le C|u|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $H^*(u), K^*(u)$ are uniformly bounded in $L^{2N/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and so are $H_*(u), K_*(u)$ in $L^{2N/(\alpha+2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$. Thanks to the compactness of $\mathcal{N}_a$, it is easy to know for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose R given above and depending only on ε such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |H_*(u)|^{\frac{2N}{\alpha+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |K_*(u)|^{\frac{2N}{\alpha+2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2N}} \le \varepsilon^2, \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_a.$$

Then repeating the argument as in [37, Proposition 3.1], (2.25) can be concluded.

Claim 2. $I_\alpha * F(u)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$.

By $(F1)$-$(F2)$ and the definition of the convolution of $I_\alpha * F(u)$, there exists $C(\alpha)$ (depending only N, α) such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$,

$$\begin{aligned}(I_\alpha * |F(u)|)(x) \leq & C(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u|^2 + |u|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)})\, \mathrm{d}y \\ & + C(\alpha) \int_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{|u|^2 + |u|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\, \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.25), for some c (independent of u) such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$(I_\alpha * |F(u)|)(x) \leq c + C(\alpha) \int_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{|u|^2 + |u|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Similar as in [56, Proposition 2.2], choosing $t \in (\frac{N}{\alpha}, \frac{N}{\alpha}\frac{N}{N-2})$ with $2t \in (2, \frac{N}{\alpha}\frac{2N}{N-2})$ and $s \in (\frac{N}{\alpha}, \frac{N}{\alpha}\frac{2N}{N+\alpha})$ with $s\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2} \in (2, \frac{N}{\alpha}\frac{2N}{N-2})$, there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$(independent of u), such that

$$\int_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{|u|^2 + |u|^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\, \mathrm{d}y \leq C_1 \|u\|_{2t}^2 + C_2 \|u\|_{s\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}^{(N+\alpha)/(N-2)},$$

which implies combing (2.25) that the claim holds.

Let $\bar{f}(x,u) := (I_\alpha * F(u))(x) f(u)$, then by $(F1)$-$(F2)$, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, u satisfies that for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $C_\delta > 0$(independent of u) such that

$$|\bar{f}(x,u)u| \leq (\delta |u|^2 + C_\delta |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and

$$-\Delta u + au = \bar{f}(x,u),\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Noting that $(N+\alpha)/(N-2) < 2N/(N-2)$, by virtue of the standard Moser iteration [22] (see also [12]), $\mathcal{N}_a$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $|\bar{f}(x,u)| = o(1)|u|$ if $\|u\|_\infty \to 0$ and $E_a > 0$, it is easy to know $\inf\{\|u\|_\infty : u \in \mathcal{N}_a\} > 0$.

Second, since $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\in \mathcal{N}_a$, it follows from the elliptic estimate(see [22]) that $u \in C^{1,\gamma}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know $E_a \leq c_1$, where

$$c_1 := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} L_a(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, L_a(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$. Similar as in [37], for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, there exists a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma(1/2) = u$ and $L_a(\gamma)$ achieves its maximum at $1/2$. Thereby, $c_1 = E_a$. Namely, E_a is also a mountain pass value. Moreover, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, u has a constant sign and is radially symmetric about some point. If u is positive, then u is decreasing at $r = |x - x_0|$, where x_0 is the maximum point of u. Finally, by the radial lemma, $u(x) \to 0$ uniformly as $|x - x_0| \to \infty$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$. By the comparison principle, there exist $C, c > 0$, independent of $u \in \mathcal{N}_a$, such that $|D^{\alpha_1} u(x)| \leq C \exp(-c|x - x_0|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for $|\alpha_1| = 0, 1$. The proof is completed. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we consider the semiclassical states of (1.1). To study (1.1), let $u(x) = v(\varepsilon x)$ and $V_\varepsilon(x) = V(\varepsilon x)$, then it suffices to consider the following problem

$$-\Delta u + V_\varepsilon(x)u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.1}$$

Let H_ε be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_\varepsilon u^2)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $B_\varepsilon \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon x \in B\}$ and $B^\delta \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \mathrm{dist}(x, B) \le \delta\}$. Since we are looking for positive solutions of (1.1), from now on, we may assume that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$. For $u \in H_\varepsilon$, let

$$P_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V_\varepsilon u^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u).$$

Fixing an arbitrary $\nu > 0$, we define

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ x \in O_\varepsilon, \\ \varepsilon^{-\nu}, & \text{if } \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus O_\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q_\varepsilon(u) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon u^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - 1\right)_+^2.$$

Let $\Gamma_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(u) = P_\varepsilon(u) + Q_\varepsilon(u).$$

To find solutions of (2.1) which concentrate inside O as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we seek critical points u_ε of Γ_ε satisfying $Q_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0$. The functional Q_ε that was first introduced in [11], will act as a penalization to force the concentration phenomena to occur inside O. In what follows, we seek the critical points of Γ_ε in some neighborhood of ground state solutions to (1.2) with $a = m$.

3.1. The truncated problem.

Denote S_m by the set of positive ground state solutions of (1.2) with $a = m$ satisfying $u(0) = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)$, where m is given in Section 1.

Lemma 3.1. *S_m is compact in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Obviously, by Proposition 2.3, $S_m \neq \emptyset$. For any $\{u_n\} \subset S_m$, without loss of generality, we assume that $u_n \to u_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$. First, we claim that $u_0 \neq 0$. Indeed, by (v) of Proposition 2.3, there exist $c, C > 0$ (independent of n) such that $|u_n(x)| \le C\exp(-c|x|)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $p \in [2, 2N/(N-2)]$. So if $u_0 = 0$, it is easy to know $u_n \to 0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, which contracts the fact that $E_m > 0$. Second, we claim that $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. Otherwise, similar as in Proposition 2.2, by Proposition 2.1, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\{v^j\}_{j=1}^k \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $v^j \neq 0$, $L'_m(v^j) = 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all j and $E_m = L_m(u_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k L_m(v^j)$. Noting that $L_m(u_0) \ge E_m$ and

$L_m(v^j) \geq E_m$, we get a contradiction. Finally, we show $u_0 \in S_m$. Obviously, $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_m$ is positive and radially symmetric. Recalling that 0 is the same maximum point u_n for any n, by the local elliptic estimate, 0 is also a maximum point of u_0. The proof is completed. □

By Proposition 2.3, let $\kappa > 0$ be fixed and satisfies

$$\sup_{U \in S_m} \|U\|_\infty < \kappa. \tag{2.2}$$

For $k > \max_{t\in[0,\kappa]} f(t)$ fixed, let $f_k(t) := \min\{f(t), k\}$ and consider the truncated problem

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta v + V(x)v = \varepsilon^{-\alpha}(I_\alpha * F_k(v))f_k(v), \quad v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.3}$$

whose associated limit problem is

$$-\Delta u + mu = (I_\alpha * F_k(u))f_k(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.4}$$

where $F_k(t) = \int_0^t f_k(s)\,\mathrm{d}s$. Denote by S_m^k be the set of positive ground state solutions U of (2.4) satisfying $U(0) = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} U(x)$, then by [37, Theorem 2], $S_m^k \neq \emptyset$. Similar to Lemma 3.1, S_m^k is compact in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.2. *$S_m \subset S_m^k$*

Proof. Denote by E_m^k the least energy of (2.4). Noting that for any $u \in S_m$, u is also a solution of (2.4). Then we get that $E_m^k \leq E_m$. By [37], E_m^k is a mountain path value. Combing (iv) of Proposition 2.3 and the fact that $f_k(t) \leq f(t)$ for $t > 0$ and $f_k(t) = f(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, we have $E_m^k \geq E_m$ and so $E_m^k = E_m$, which yields that $S_m \subset S_m^k$. The proof is completed. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** In the following, we use the truncation approach to prove Theorem 1.2. Our strategy is as follows. First, we consider the truncated problem (2.3). By Lemma 3.2, S_m is a compact subset of S_m^k. So we can adopt an idea in [7] to show that (2.3) admits a nontrivial positive solution v_ε in some neighborhood of S_m for small ε. Second, we show that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \kappa, \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

As a consequence, v_ε is indeed a solution of the original problem (1.1).

Completion of Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. Let

$$\delta = \frac{1}{10}\min\{\mathrm{dist}(\mathcal{M}, O^c)\}.$$

Let $\beta \in (0, \delta)$ and a cut-off $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \beta$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2\beta$. Set $\varphi_\varepsilon(y) = \varphi(\varepsilon y), y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for some $x \in (\mathcal{M})^\beta$ and $U \in S_m$, we define

$$U_\varepsilon^x(y) = \varphi_\varepsilon\left(y - \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) U\left(y - \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

and

$$X_\varepsilon = \{U_\varepsilon^x \mid x \in (\mathcal{M})^\beta, U_i \in S_m\}.$$

In the following, we show that (2.3) admits a solution in X_ε^d of X_ε for $\varepsilon, d > 0$ small enough, where

$$X_\varepsilon^d = \left\{ u \in H_\varepsilon : \inf_{v \in X_\varepsilon} \|u - v\|_\varepsilon \le d \right\}.$$

In fact, since f_k satisfies all the hypotheses of [56, Theorem 2.1], as a consequence, for $\varepsilon, d > 0$ small, (2.3) admits a positive solution $v_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^d$ satisfying that there exist $U \in S_m$ and a maximum point x_ε of v_ε, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} dist(x_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ and $v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon \cdot + x_\varepsilon) \to U(\cdot + z_0)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Noting that

$$-\Delta w_\varepsilon + V_\varepsilon(x + \frac{x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}) w_\varepsilon = (I_\alpha * F_k(w_\varepsilon)) f_k(w_\varepsilon), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $w_\varepsilon(\cdot) = v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon \cdot + x_\varepsilon)$. Similar as in Proposition 2.3, $I_\alpha * F_k(w_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all ε. Then, a local elliptic estimate(see [22]) yields that $w_\varepsilon(0) \to U(z_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. It follows from (2.2) that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty = w_\varepsilon(0) < \kappa$ uniformly for small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, for small $\varepsilon > 0$, $f_k(v_\varepsilon(x)) \equiv f(v_\varepsilon(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and then v_ε is a positive solution of (1.1). The proof is completed. □

References

[1] N. Ackermann, T. Weth, Multibump solutions to nonlinear periodic Schrödinger equations in a degenerate setting, *Commun. Contemp. Math.,* **7**(2005), 269–298. 8

[2] C. O. Alves, D. Cassani, C. Tarsi, M. Yang, Existence and concentration of ground state solutions for a critical nonlocal Schröinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$, *J. Differential Equations*, **261**(2016), 1933–1972. 4

[3] C. O. Alves, J. Marcos do Ó, M. A. S. Souto, Local mountain-pass for a class of elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$ involving critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.,* **46**(2001), 495-510. 3

[4] C. O. Alves, M. Yang, Investigating the multiplicity and concentration behaviour of solutions for a quasi-linear Choquard equation via the penalization method. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **146 A**(2016), 23-58. 4, 27

[5] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.,* **36**(1983), 437-477. 19

[6] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations I. Existence of a ground state, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.,* **82**(1983), 313-346. 3

[7] J. Byeon, L. Jeanjean, Standing waves for nonlinear Schödinger equations with a general nonlinearity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Analysis*, **185**(2007), 185-200. 3, 4, 30

[8] J. Byeon, K. Tanaka, Semi-classical standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations at structurally stable critical points of the potential, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.,* **15** (2013), 1859-1899. 3

[9] J. Byeon, singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems with a general nonlinearity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. ,* **362**(2010), 1981-2001. 3

[10] J. Byeon, K. Tanaka, Semiclassical standing waves with clustering peaks for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society,* **229**(2014). 3

[11] J. Byeon, Z.-Q. Wang, Standing waves with critical frequency for nonlinear schrodinger equations II, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **18**(2003), 207-219. 29

[12] J. Byeon, J. J. Zhang, W. M. Zou, Singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems involving critical growth, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations,* **47**(2013), 65-85. 28

[13] C. Bonanno, P. d'Avenia, M. Ghimenti, M. Squassina, Soliton dynamics for the generalized Choquard equation, *J. Math.Anal.Appl.*, **417**(2014), 180–199. 4

[14] W. X. Chen, C. M. Li, B. Ou, Classification of solutions for an integral equation, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **59**(2006), 330–343. 2

[15] S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, M. Squassina, Semiclassical limit for Schrödinger equations with magnetic field and Hartree-type nonlinearities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **140 A**(2010), 973-1009. 4
[16] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **4** (1996), 121-137. 3
[17] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, Multi-peak bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-linéaire*, **15**(1998), 127-149. 3
[18] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, Spike-layered solutions of singularlyly perturbed elliptic problems in a degenerate setting, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* **48** (1999) 883-898. 3
[19] P. D'Avenia, A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz, Semi-classical states for the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation on saddle points of the potential via variational methods, *J. Funct. Anal.,* **262** (2012), 4600-4633. 3
[20] L.C. Evans, M. Zworski, Lectures on semiclassical analysis, UC Berkley, (2003), pp. 211. 3
[21] A. Floer, A. Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equations with a bounded potential, *J. Funct. Anal.,* **69**(1986), 397-408. 3
[22] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. second edition, Grundlehren 224, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York and Tokyo, 1983. 28, 31
[23] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Adv. Math. Studies*, **A7**(1981), 369–402. 2
[24] E. P. Gross, Physics of many-Particle systems. Vol.1. Gordon Breach, New York, 1996. 2
[25] F. Gao, M. Yang, On the Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation, arXiv:1604.00826v3[math.AP]. 19, 20
[26] F. Gao, M. Yang, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of Choquard equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent, arXiv:1605.05038v1[math.AP]. 20
[27] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer-type problem set on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh*, **129**(1999), 787–809. 4, 16
[28] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A positive solution for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* **54**(2005), 443–464. 18
[29] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **57**(1977), 93-105 . 2
[30] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, 2nd edn, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001. 5
[31] E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, The Hartree-Fock theory for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **53**(1977), 185-194. 2
[32] P. L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **4**(1980), 1063-1073. 2
[33] P. L. Lions, Compactness and topological methods for some nonlinear variational problems of mathematical physics, Nonlinear problems: present and future 17-34, 1982. 2
[34] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case I. II, *Annales Inst. H. Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire*, **1**(1984), 109-145, 223-283. 18, 23
[35] L. Ma, L. Zhao, Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **195** (2010), 455-467. 2
[36] M. Macrì, M. Nolasco, Stationary solutions for the non-linear Hartree equation with a slowly varying potential, *NoDEA*, **16**(2009), 681-715. 4
[37] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Existence of ground states for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **367**(2015), 6557–6579. 2, 17, 18, 27, 28, 30
[38] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Semi-classical states for the Choquard equation, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **52**(2015), 199-235. 4
[39] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **265**(2013), 153–184. 2
[40] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, A guide to the Choquard equation, arXiv:1606.02158v1[math.AP]. 2

[41] M. Nolasco, Breathing modes for the Schrödinger-Poisson system with a multiple-well external potential, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **9**(2010), 1411-1419. 4
[42] Y. G. Oh, Existence of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_a$, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations,* **13**(1988), 1499-1519. 2, 3
[43] R. Penrose, On gravity's role in quantum state reduction, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **28**(1996), 581–600. 2
[44] R. Penrose, Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction, *R. Soc. Lond. Philos. Trans. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **356** (1998), 1927–1939. 2
[45] R. Penrose, The road to reality. A complete guide to the laws of the universe, Alfred A. Knopf Inc., New York 2005. 2
[46] S. Pekar, Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle, Akademie Verlag, Berlin (1954). 2
[47] P. H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.,* **43**(1992), 270-291. 3
[48] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Functional Analysis,* **237**(2006), 655-674 21
[49] S. Secchi, A note on Schrödinger-Newton systems with decaying electric potential, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72**(2010), 3842-3856. 4
[50] X. Sun, Y. Zhang, Multi-peak solution for nonlinear magnetic Choquard type equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, **55**(2014), 031508. 4
[51] J. Wei, M. Winter, Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger-Newton equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, **50**(2009), 012905. 4
[52] X. Wang, On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.,* **153**(1993), 229-244. 3
[53] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, *Birkhäuser, Boston* (1996). 19
[54] M. Willem, Functional Analysis: Fundamentals and Applications, Cornerstones, vol. XIV, Birkhäser, Basel, 2013. 5
[55] M. Yang, Y. Ding, Existence of solutions for singularly perturbed Schrödinger equations with nonlocal part, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **12**(2013), 771–783. 4
[56] M. B. Yang, J. J. Zhang, Y. M. Zhang, Multi-peak solutions for nonlinear Choquard equation with a general nonlinearity, accepted in *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 2016. 4, 28, 31
[57] J. J. Zhang, J. M. do Ó, M. Squassina, Schrödinger-Poison systems with a general critical nonlinearity, *Comm. Contem. Math.* **14** (2016), 1650028, DOI: 10.1142/S0219199716500280. 8
[58] J. J. Zhang, Z. J. Chen, W. M. Zou, Standing Waves for nonlinear Schrödinger Equations involving critical growth, *J. London Math. Soc.,* **90**(2014), 827-844. 3
[59] J. J. Zhang, W. M. Zou, Solutions concentrating around the saddle points of the potential for Schrödinger equations involving critical growth, *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations,* **54**(2015), 4119–4142. 3, 8

(D. Cassani)
Dip. di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia
Università degli Studi dell'Insubria
via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como,Italy
and
RISM - Riemann International School of Mathematics
via G.B. Vico 46, 21100 Varese, Italy
E-mail address: Daniele.Cassani@uninsubria.it

(J. J. Zhang)
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Chongqing Jiaotong University
Chongqing 400074, PR China
and
Dip. di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia
Università degli Studi dell'Insubria
via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como,Italy
E-mail address: zhangjianjun09@tsinghua.org.cn